

United States Parent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,171	12/21/2001	Yau Wei Lucas Hui	851663.430USPC	1982
Seed Intellectual Property Law Group			EXAMINER	
			HANEY, MATTHEW J	
Suite 6300 701 5th Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle, WA 98104-7092			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/914,171	HUI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew Haney	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	Irawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/914,171

Art Unit: 2613

ه څښون

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin (US 5,452,011).

As for claims 1-3 and 8-11, Martin teaches of comparing first and second fields and said first field being a successive field of said second field (Column 2, Lines 24-36); comparing pixel values of respective sub-blocks of said first field and a third field, said second field being a successive field of said third field (Column 2, Lines 11-23); determining whether said first field is an interlaced field or a progressive field (i.e. non-interlaced) with respect to a successive field of said first field based on said steps of comparing (Column 3, Lines 1-13); step of accumulating in an accumulator means absolute difference between the pixel values of said first and third fields (Column 2, Lines 11-23); step of determining if further based on an output of said accumulator means (Column 3, Lines 1-13).

As for claims 4 and 12, Martin teaches of step of determining whether said first field is a repeated field based on a result of said step of comparing pixel values on said output of said accumulator means and on a result of said step of determining whether said first field is an interlaced field or a progressive field (i.e. non interlaced) (Column 4, Lines 20-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,171

Art Unit: 2613

ب الأدام

As for claims 5 and 13, Martin teaches of step of determining whether or not there has been a scene change between said first and third fields, at least in part based on said output of said accumulator means (Note: where an isolated field is included which is basically out of sequence (i.e. scene change is drastically different than previous frame) Column 4, Lines 41-57).

As for claims 6 and 14, Martin teaches of step of grouping successive fields according to one or more of said steps of determining (Column 4, Lines 11-19).

As for claim 7, Martin teaches of step of comparing first and second fields provides an output of the sum of a plurality of absolute pixel differences between respective said first and second fields, said absolute pixel differences exceeding a threshold value (Column 3, Lines 33-39).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is 703-305-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7-4:30), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,171

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600